A BIOMIMETIC ENTRY IN THE HEXACYCLIC TUBOXENIN RING SYSTEM METHYLENE-INDOLINES, INDOLENINES AND INDOLENINIUMS, XXII (1) Georgette HUGEL¹, Janine COSSY², Jean LEVY¹

1 Laboratoire de Transformations et Synthèse, UA/CNRS N°492, Faculté de Pharmacie, 51 rue Cognacq-Jay, 51096 REIMS CEDEX, FRANCE

Summary : indolenine 9 was reacted with Na in THF to yield tuboxenine 1a, 19-épi tuboxenine 1b, indoline 10 and the two indoles 13 and 14.

The hexacyclic skeleton of the indole alkaloids tuboxenine 1a (2) and vindolinine $2(3)$ (Table) which includes four adjacent five-membered rings had not been synthesized until now. It obviously arises in the plant from pentacyclic $aspidosperm$ precursors such as $9 \rightarrow 1$) and $4 \leftrightarrow 2$), and the supplementary 2-19 bond is likely to originate from a radical process.

In view of testing the synthetic utility of this scheme, vindolinine 2 and dihydrovindolinine 3 were transformed along Langlois' procedure (4) into the pentacyclic esters 4 and 5 which were further saponified and decarboxylated to 7 and 9, respectively. For comparison purposes indolenine 11 was similarly obtained from tabersonine 6.

Photochemical attempts of cyclizations of indolenines 7 and 9 proved to be unsuccessful: irradiation of 7 following Mariano's conditions (5) (MeCN, HClO₄, 254 nm, 2h) resulted only in a very sluggish reduction (2%) to indoline 8. Extension of the photochemical cyclization of δ , ε -vinyl ketones (6) to δ , ε -unsaturated imines was next explored. Here again, irradiation of 2 (MeCN, Et₃N, 254nm, 2h) yielded indoline 10 (30%), which was identified with the LiAlH_/ reduction product of 9.

Metal promoted ion-radical formation was more gratifying. Refluxing indolenine 9 (35mp) in dry TKF (15m1)(8) admixed with c.a. 250 mg **Na for** 2.5 hours under arpon atmosphere allowed recovery of 9 (1-2 mg), isolation of indoline 10 (3mg) and of three new compounds : the seco-6,7 indolic derivative <u>13</u> (9) (6.5mg,19%), its de-ethyl derivative <u>14</u> (10) (4mg,11%) and a product ($10mg,29\%$) which proved to be tuboxenine $1a$ containing a very slight amount (c.a.l%,NMR) of 19-epi-tuboxenine lb **(11).** Both alkaloids were separated carefully by tic. - Tuboxenine 1a (12) was identified by its NMR (1) and mass (1) spectra, its rotation : $(a)_{D}$ +4°, CHC13, c=0.08(lit(1)+5°) and its picrate mp 160-5°C (Reichert)(lit(1),163-6°C).

1773

² Laboratoire de Photochimie, UA/CNRS N°459, Faculté des Sciences, Moulin de la Housse, 51062 REINS CEDEX, FRANCE

Epituboxenine <u>1b</u> was compared with an authentic sample prepared by epimerization (11) of the main compound.

Apart from the successful synthesis of tuboxenine, obtention of the seco compounds **13** and <u>14</u> was of interest. In order to confirm their structure, the reaction was extended to the more readily accessible indolenine 11 which bears an ethyl side chain. Sodium in refluxing THF yielded indoline 12 (15%), the seco-6,7 indolic compound 15 (13) (27%), and its de-ethyl derivative 16 (14) (12%). The ¹H NMR spectrum of this last compound was in good agreement with that published by Magnus (15) for the synthetic 16. In order to confirm the relations between 15 and 16 , 16 was N-acetylated to $1/$ and further reduced with LiAlH₄ in ThFtogive $\frac{1}{4}$

The simultaneous obtention of compounds 10 , 13 , 14 , and tuboxenine $1a$ from 9 is best accounted for (Scheme) by generation of ion-radical 18 which may immediately pick up an hydrogen from the solvent $(\rightarrow 10)$, or cyclize onto C(19) $(\rightarrow 20 \rightarrow 1)$ or suffer the depicted fragmentations to 21 , and then to 22 , the precursors of 13 and 14 respectively. This last fragmentation process offers a new procedure for generating those indole alkaloids lacking the tryptamine side chain. Absence of cleavage of the 7-21 bond in the reaction may be due to partici pation of the basic $N(4)$ and to the reversible formation of species $\frac{19}{4}$.

The total synthesis of tuboxenine along these lines will be published in a further paper. References and Notes

- 1) Preceding paper in this series : G.Hugel and J.Lévy, J.Org.Chem. 1986, 51, 1594.
- 2) a. C.Kump, J.Seibl and H.Schmid, Helv.Chim.Acta 1964, 47 , 358

b. W.G.Kump, M.B.Patel, J.M.Rowson and H.Schmid, Helv.Chim.Acta 1964, 47, 1497.

- 3)a.C.Djerassi, S.E.Flores, H.Budzikiewicz, J.H.Vilson, L.J.Durham, J.Le Men, M.M.Janot, M.Plat, M. Gorman and N. Neuss, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Wash. 1962, 48, 113. b. A.Ahond, M.M.Janot, N.Langlois, G.Lukacs, P.Potier, P.Rasoanaivo, M.Sangaré, N.Neuss, M.Plat, J.Le Men, E.W.Hagaman and E.Wenkert, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 1974, 96, 633.
- 4) L.Diatta, R.Z.Andriamialisoa, N.Langlois and P.Potier, Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 2839.
- 5) P.S.Mariano, Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3845.
- 6) D.Belotti, J.Cossy, J.P.Pète and C.Portella, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26 , 4591. We thank Prof. J.P. Pète for valuable discussions.
- $\frac{10}{10}$: (a)_D:-28°(c=0,9,CHC1₃) ; UV(MeOH):208,240,292nm ; MS:m/e280(M[']'),252,122 ; ¹³C NMR, CDC1₃(numbering of C atoms):21.75(14), 23.31(16), 25.32(15), 28.34(17), $36.53(20),37.89(7),39.09(6),52.65(3)^{*},53.56(5)^{*},65.08(21),70.55(2),110.33(12),$ 111.49(18),118.90(9+10),123.13(11),127.20(8),145.36(19),~49.75(13) (*,may be inverted).